	Case 2:20-cv-02143-TLN-DB Documen	t 25 Filed 11/27/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES GARNER,	No. 2:20-cv-2143 DB P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	RALPH DIAZ,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, is proceeding with a petition for a writ of	
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On December 21, 2021, respondent filed an answer	
19	to the petition. (ECF No. 16.) On December 23, 2021, respondent also filed a notice of lodging	
20	with the court. (ECF No. 17.) Both the answer and the notice of lodging contain a declaration of	
21	service stating that respondent served these documents on petitioner by mailing them to	
22	petitioner's address of record. (ECF No. 16 at 28; ECF No. 17 at 3.) On August 14, 2022,	
23	petitioner informed the court that he had not received either document and requested that it direct	
24	respondent to re-serve them. (ECF No. 20.) The court granted petitioner's request on February	
25	24, 2023. (ECF No. 21.)	
26	On February 27, 2023, respondent's counsel filed a declaration stating that the answer and	
27	notice of lodging had been re-served on petitioner by mail. (ECF No. 22.) The declaration	
28	indicated that counsel sent the documents to petitioner's address of record. (<u>Id</u> .)	
		1

Case 2:20-cv-02143-TLN-DB Document 25 Filed 11/27/23 Page 2 of 2

On November 2, 2023, petitioner notified the court that he did not receive the documents that respondent's counsel sent on February 27. (ECF No. 23.) He asks the court to direct counsel to re-serve them again. (<u>Id</u>.) The court notes that petitioner's notice provides an address for petitioner that is different from his address of record. (<u>Id</u>.) Specifically, while his address of record lists P.O. Box 903 at Avenal State Prison as his mailing address, the November 2 notice identifies P.O. Box 906 as his mailing address. (<u>Id</u>.)

It is petitioner's responsibility to ensure that his address of record with the court is accurate. E.D. Loc. R. 182(f). As it is not clear whether petitioner's address of record reflects his current mailing address, the court will deny his November 2 request without prejudice and direct petitioner to notify the court whether his address of record is correct. Petitioner may renew his request for re-service when he provides the required notice.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's second notice regarding not receiving documents (ECF No. 23) is denied without prejudice; and
- Not later than thirty days from the date of this order, petitioner shall notify the court
 whether his address of record with the court represents his current mailing address. To
 the extent that it does not, petitioner shall provide the court with his current mailing
 address.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: November 27, 2023

22 DB: 15

DB/DB Prisoner Inbox/Habeas/R/garn2143.reserve